

Appendix 1: Proof of Lemma

From the definition of the price index:

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{1-\epsilon} di \\
 &= \int_0^1 \exp\{(1-\epsilon)(p_t(i) - p_t)\} di \\
 &\simeq 1 + (1-\epsilon) \int_0^1 (p_t(i) - p_t) di + \frac{(1-\epsilon)^2}{2} \int_0^1 (p_t(i) - p_t)^2 di
 \end{aligned}$$

where the approximation results from a second-order Taylor expansion around the zero inflation steady state. Thus, and up to second order, we have

$$p_t \simeq E_i\{p_t(i)\} + \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{2} \int_0^1 (p_t(i) - p_t)^2 di$$

where $E_i\{p_t(i)\} \equiv \int_0^1 p_t(i) di$ is the cross-sectional mean of (log) prices.

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\epsilon} di &= \int_0^1 \exp\{-\epsilon(p_t(i) - p_t)\} di \\
 &\simeq 1 - \epsilon \int_0^1 (p_t(i) - p_t) di + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \int_0^1 (p_t(i) - p_t)^2 di \\
 &\simeq 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_0^1 (p_t(i) - p_t)^2 di \\
 &\simeq 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{var}_i\{p_t(i)\} \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the observation that, up to second order,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 (p_t(i) - p_t)^2 di &\simeq \int_0^1 (p_t(i) - E_i\{p_t(i)\})^2 di \\
 &\equiv \text{var}_i\{p_t(i)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the definition of d_t^p we obtain

$$d_t^p \simeq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{var}_i\{p_t(i)\} \geq 0$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \left(\frac{N_t(j)}{N_t} \right)^{1-\alpha} dj &= \int_0^1 \exp \{ (1-\alpha) (n_t(j) - n_t) \} dj \\
&\simeq 1 + (1-\alpha) \int_0^1 (n_t(j) - n_t) dj + \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{2} \int_0^1 (n_t(j) - n_t)^2 dj \\
&\simeq 1 - \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{2} \int_0^1 (n_t(j) - n_t)^2 dj \leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from the fact that $\int_0^1 (n_t(j) - n_t) dj \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (n_t(j) - n_t)^2 dj$ (using a second order approximation of the identity $1 \equiv \int_0^1 \frac{N_t(j)}{N_t} dj$).

Log-linearizing the optimal hiring condition (11) around a symmetric equilibrium we have

$$n_t(j) - n_t \simeq -\frac{1-\Phi}{\alpha} (w_t(j) - w_t)$$

Thus

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{N_t(j)}{N_t} \right)^{1-\alpha} dj \simeq 1 - \frac{(1-\Phi)^2(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha} \int_0^1 (w_t(j) - w_t)^2 dj$$

implying

$$d_t^w \equiv -\log \int_0^1 \left(\frac{N_t(j)}{N_t} \right)^{1-\alpha} \simeq \frac{(1-\Phi)^2(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha} \text{var}_j \{ w_t(j) \} \geq 0$$

Appendix 2: Linearization of Participation Condition

Lemma. Define $Q_t \equiv \int_0^1 \left(\frac{H_t(z)}{H_t} \right) \mathcal{S}_t^H(z) dz$. Then, around a zero inflation deterministic steady state we have

$$\hat{q}_t \simeq \hat{g}_t - \Xi \pi_t^w$$

where $\Xi \equiv \frac{\xi(W/P)}{(1-\xi)G} \frac{\theta_w}{(1-\theta_w)(1-\beta(1-\delta)\theta_w)}$.

Proof of Lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t &\simeq \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_t^H(z) dz \\ &= (1 - \theta_w) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \theta_w^q \mathcal{S}_{t|t-q}^H \\ &= (1 - \theta_w) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \theta_w^q (\mathcal{S}_{t|t}^H + \mathcal{S}_{t|t-q}^H - \mathcal{S}_{t|t}^H) \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality holds up to a first order approximation in a neighborhood of a symmetric steady state.

Using the Nash bargaining condition (31) we have:

$$\xi Q_t = (1 - \xi) G_t + \xi(1 - \theta_w) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \theta_w^q (\mathcal{S}_{t|t-q}^H - \mathcal{S}_{t|t}^H)$$

Note however that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{t|t-q}^H - \mathcal{S}_{t|t}^H &= E_t \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((1 - \delta)\theta_w)^k \Lambda_{t,t+k} \left(\frac{W_{t-q}^*}{P_{t+k}} - \frac{W_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right) \right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{W_{t-q}^* - W_t^*}{P_t} \right) E_t \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((1 - \delta)\theta_w)^k \Lambda_{t,t+k} \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t+k}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Using the law of motion for the aggregate wage,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - \theta_w) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \theta_w^q (\mathcal{S}_{t|t-q}^H - \mathcal{S}_{t|t}^H) &= \left(\frac{W_t - W_t^*}{P_t} \right) E_t \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((1 - \delta)\theta_w)^k \Lambda_{t,t+k} \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t+k}} \right) \right\} \\
&= -\pi_t^w \left(\frac{\theta_w}{1 - \theta_w} \right) \frac{W_{t-1}}{P_t} E_t \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((1 - \delta)\theta_w)^k \Lambda_{t,t+k} \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t+k}} \right) \right\} \\
&\simeq -\pi_t^w \left(\frac{\theta_w}{(1 - \theta_w)(1 - \beta(1 - \delta)\theta_w)} \right) \left(\frac{W}{P} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the approximation holds in a neighborhood of the zero inflation steady state. It follows that

$$\xi Q_t \simeq (1 - \xi) G_t - \xi \left(\frac{\theta_w}{(1 - \theta_w)(1 - \beta(1 - \delta)\theta_w)} \right) \left(\frac{W}{P} \right) \pi_t^w$$

or, equivalently, in (log) deviations from steady state values:

$$\hat{q}_t \simeq \hat{g}_t - \Xi \pi_t^w$$

where $\Xi \equiv \frac{\xi(W/P)}{(1-\xi)G} \frac{\theta_w}{(1-\theta_w)(1-\beta(1-\delta)\theta_w)}$.

Appendix 3: Log-linearized Equilibrium Conditions

- Technology, Resource Constraints and Miscellaneous Identities

Goods market clearing (44)

$$\hat{y}_t = (1 - \Theta) \hat{c}_t + \Theta (\hat{g}_t + \hat{h}_t)$$

where $\Theta \equiv \frac{\delta NG}{Y}$.

Aggregate production function

$$\hat{y}_t = a_t + (1 - \alpha) \hat{n}_t$$

Aggregate hiring and employment

$$\delta \hat{h}_t = \hat{n}_t - (1 - \delta) \hat{n}_{t-1}$$

Hiring cost

$$\hat{g}_t = \gamma \hat{x}_t$$

Job finding rate

$$\hat{x}_t = \hat{h}_t - \hat{u}_t^o$$

Effective Market Effort

$$\hat{l}_t = \left(\frac{N}{L}\right) \hat{n}_t + \left(\frac{\psi U}{L}\right) \hat{u}_t$$

Labor force

$$\hat{f}_t = \left(\frac{N}{F}\right) \hat{n}_t + \left(\frac{U}{F}\right) \hat{u}_t$$

Unemployment:

$$\hat{u}_t = \hat{u}_t^o - \frac{x}{1-x} \hat{x}_t$$

Unemployment rate

$$\hat{ur}_t = \hat{f}_t - \hat{n}_t$$

- Decentralized Economy: Other Equilibrium Conditions

Euler equation

$$\widehat{c}_t = E_t\{\widehat{c}_{t+1}\} - \widehat{r}_t$$

Fisherian equation

$$\widehat{r}_t = \widehat{i}_t - E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\}$$

Inflation equation

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} - \lambda_p \widehat{\mu}_t^p$$

Optimal hiring condition

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \widehat{n}_t &= a_t - [(1 - \Phi) \widehat{\omega}_t + \Phi \widehat{b}_t] - \widehat{\mu}_t^p \\ \widehat{b}_t &= \frac{1}{1 - \beta(1 - \delta)} \widehat{g}_t - \frac{\beta(1 - \delta)}{1 - \beta(1 - \delta)} (E_t\{\widehat{g}_{t+1}\} - \widehat{r}_t) \end{aligned}$$

Optimal participation condition (only when $\psi > 0$)

$$\widehat{c}_t + \varphi \widehat{l}_t = \frac{1}{1 - x} \widehat{x}_t + \widehat{g}_t - \Xi \pi_t^w$$

where $\Xi \equiv \frac{\xi(W/P)}{(1-\xi)G} \frac{\theta_w}{(1-\theta_w)(1-\beta(1-\delta)\theta_w)}$ (note $\Xi = 0$ under flexible wages).

When $\psi = 0$, $\widehat{l}_t = \widehat{n}_t$ and $\widehat{f}_t = 0$ hold instead.

Interest rate rule

$$\widehat{i}_t = \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y \widehat{y}_t + v_t$$

- Wage Setting Block: Flexible Wages

Nash wage equation

$$\widehat{\omega}_t = (1 - \Upsilon) (\widehat{c}_t + \varphi \widehat{l}_t) + \Upsilon (-\widehat{\mu}_t^p + a_t - \alpha \widehat{n}_t)$$

where $\Upsilon \equiv \frac{(1-\xi)MRPN}{W/P}$

- Wage Setting Block: Sticky Wages

$$\hat{\omega}_t = \hat{\omega}_{t-1} + \pi_t^w - \pi_t^p$$

$$\pi_t^w = \beta(1 - \delta) E_t\{\pi_{t+1}^w\} - \lambda_w (\hat{\omega}_t - \hat{\omega}_t^{tar})$$

$$\hat{\omega}_t^{tar} = (1 - \Upsilon) (\hat{c}_t + \varphi \hat{l}_t) + \Upsilon (-\hat{\mu}_t^p + a_t - \alpha \hat{n}_t)$$

- Social Planner's Problem: Efficiency Conditions

$$a_t - \alpha \hat{n}_t = (1 - \Omega) (\hat{c}_t + \varphi \hat{l}_t) + \Omega \hat{b}_t$$

$$\hat{c}_t + \varphi \hat{l}_t = \frac{1}{1 - x} \hat{x}_t + \hat{g}_t$$

where $\Omega \equiv \frac{(1+\gamma)B}{MPN}$.

Appendix 4: Sketch of the Derivation of Loss Function

Combining a second order expansion of the utility of the representative household and the resource constraint around the constrained-efficient allocation yields

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \tilde{U}_t \simeq - E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left(\frac{1}{1-\Theta} (d_t^p + d_t^w) + \frac{1}{2} (1+\varphi) \chi L^{1+\varphi} \tilde{l}_t^2 \right)$$

As shown in appendix 1 $d_t^p \simeq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{var}_i\{p_t(i)\}$. and $d_t^w \simeq \frac{(1-\Phi)^2(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha} \text{var}_j\{w_t(j)\}$.

I make use of the following property of the Calvo price and wage setting environment:

Lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \text{var}_i\{p_t(i)\} &= \frac{\theta_p}{(1-\theta_p)(1-\beta\theta_p)} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (\pi_t^p)^2 \\ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \text{var}_j\{w_t(j)\} &= \frac{\theta_w}{(1-\theta_w)(1-\beta\theta_w)} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (\pi_t^w)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Woodford (2003, chapter 6).

Combining the previous results and letting $\mathbb{L} \equiv -E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \tilde{U}_t(C/Y)$ denote the utility losses expressed as a share of steady state GDP we can write

$$\mathbb{L} \equiv \frac{1}{2} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda_p} (\pi_t^p)^2 + \frac{(1-\Phi)^2(1-\alpha)}{\alpha\lambda_w^*} (\pi_t^w)^2 + (1+\varphi)(\chi CL^{1+\varphi}/Y) \tilde{l}_t^2 \right]$$

where $\lambda_w^* \equiv (1-\theta_w)(1-\beta\theta_w)/\theta_w$.

Next note that, up to first order,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{l}_t &= \left(\frac{N}{L(1-\alpha)} \right) \tilde{y}_t + \left(\frac{\psi U}{L} \right) \tilde{u}_t \\ &= \left(\frac{N}{L(1-\alpha)} \right) \left(\tilde{y}_t + \frac{(1-\alpha)\psi U}{N} \tilde{u}_t \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have:

$$\mathbb{L} \equiv \frac{1}{2} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda_p} (\pi_t^p)^2 + \frac{(1-\Phi)^2(1-\alpha)}{\alpha\lambda_w^*} (\pi_t^w)^2 + \frac{(1+\varphi)(1-\Omega)N}{(1-\alpha)L} \left(\tilde{y}_t + \frac{(1-\alpha)\psi U}{N} \tilde{u}_t \right)^2 \right]$$

where $1 - \Omega \equiv \frac{MRS}{MPN} = 1 - \frac{B(1+\gamma)}{MPN}$ is the steady state gap between the marginal rate of substitution and the marginal product of labor resulting from the existence of labor market frictions.