

Optimal Illiquidity

JOHN BESHEARS, JAMES CHOI, CHRISTOPHER CLAYTON
CHRISTOPHER HARRIS, DAVID LAIBSON, BRIGITTE MADRIAN

Key Findings and Policy Implications

This paper develops the underlying theory for designing a socially optimal level of illiquidity in a retirement savings system. The paper finds that:

- The optimal retirement saving system is well-approximated with just two accounts, one that is completely liquid before retirement, and the other that is completely illiquid before retirement. Little welfare gain is obtained by moving beyond this simple two-account system.
- If a third account is added to the system, its optimized early-withdrawal penalty is between 6% and 13%. In equilibrium, the leakage rate from this (partially illiquid) third account ranges from 65% to 90%.
- These properties have analogs in the retirement savings systems in the US. The US has fully liquid accounts (i.e., a standard checking account), fully illiquid accounts (i.e., Social Security), and a partially illiquid defined contribution system with a 10% penalty for early withdrawals. This partially illiquid DC system has a leakage rate of 40%.

Private saving is an important complement to Social Security in providing for financial wellbeing in retirement. Social Security is essentially illiquid, as people's Social Security entitlements cannot be withdrawn prior to benefit eligibility at age 62. But what liquidity restrictions should be made on the deposits that people make to private retirement accounts? There are benefits to flexibility, allowing people to pay for unexpected pre-retirement expenses that may arise over time. But there are also benefits to restricting withdrawals to avoid the temptations of over-consuming too early. This paper develops the theoretical tools for evaluating these trade-offs.

JOHN BESHEARS is an Assistant Professor of Business Administration at Harvard Business School and an NBER Faculty Research Fellow.

JAMES CHOI is Professor of Finance at Yale School of Management and an NBER Research Associate.

CHRISTOPHER CLAYTON is in the PhD program at Harvard University.

CHRISTOPHER HARRIS is a Professor of Economics at the University of Cambridge.

DAVID LAIBSON is a Professor at Harvard University and an NBER Research Associate.

BRIGITTE MADRIAN is the Aetna Professor of Public Policy and Corporate Management at Harvard's Kennedy School of Government and an NBER Research Associate.

Complete RRC Working Papers are available on our website: <http://www.nber.org/aging/rrc/papers/>

This research was supported by the U.S. Social Security Administration through grant #RRC08098400-09 to the National Bureau of Economic Research as part of the SSA Retirement Research Consortium. The findings and conclusions expressed are solely those of the author(s) and do not represent the views of SSA, any agency of the Federal Government, or the NBER.